Enhanced $T_2$ Contrast for MR Histology of the Mouse Brain
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A 3D Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence was implemented to obtain enhanced $T_2$ contrast in actively stained (perfusion with fixative and contrast agent) mouse brains at 9.4 T. Short interecho spacing was used to minimize diffusion and susceptibility losses. The sequence produced 16 3D volumes with an interecho spacing of 7 ms for isotropic 43-$\mu$m-resolution images of the mouse brains in a scan time of 4 hr. To enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast, the multiecho frequency domain image contrast (MEFIC) method was applied, resulting in a composite image with $T_2$-weighted contrast. The high SNR and contrast thus achieved revealed aspects of mouse brain morphology, such as multiple cortical layers, groups of thalamic nuclei, layers of the inferior and superior colliculus, and molecular and granular layers of the cerebellum, with a high degree of definition and contrast that was not previously achieved in $T_2$-weighted acquisitions at high fields. Magn Reson Med 56:717–725, 2006. © 2006 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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Magnetic resonance histology (MRH) (1) has become a vital tool for a wide range of applications in pathology, neurobiology, and developmental biology. The use of superconducting magnets at high field strengths, specialized RF coils, and fast switching gradients has enabled resolution of $\geq 50$ $\mu$m in the rodent brain (2,3). MRH offers an attractive complement to conventional histology, which is labor- and time-intensive. 3D images from MRH allow sectioning to be performed along any plane without the need for alignment and matching. The high soft-tissue contrast and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) that it provides in the brain makes MRH particularly appealing for our specific application, i.e., morphometry in the mouse brain. Neurorhormophometry includes volumetric analysis, shape information, and spatial organization of structures, which form the basis of morphological phenotyping of mouse models.

A prerequisite for morphometry studies in the brain, however, is the ability to visually discriminate one structure from another. MRH exploits the inherent tissue properties of proton density, diffusion, spin-lattice relaxation ($T_1$), and spin-spin relaxation ($T_2$) to emphasize differences among tissue types and indicate the presence of pathology. An accurate assessment of these properties at high fields can guide the selection of scan parameters for maximum differential contrast of structures in the rodent brain. The high magnetic field strengths used in MRH ensure an SNR increase by a power in the range of 1–1.75 of the magnetic field strength (4). However, an image-quality metric of greater utility in the differentiation of tissues is the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) (5,6). The CNR in MRI is driven by tissue properties of $T_1$, $T_2$, proton density, and diffusion. However, the behavior of these properties at high magnetic fields makes it difficult to achieve optimal contrast between tissues. Previous studies (7–9) showed an increase in tissue $T_2$s and a decrease in tissue $T_2$s as the strength of the magnetic field increased. The long $T_1$s necessitate inordinately long repetition times (TRs) to obtain truly $T_2$-weighted images that are independent of $T_1$. More significantly, diffusion through microscopic field gradients induced by tissue susceptibility differences results in much shorter $T_2$s compared to lower field strengths (9). Signal attenuation due to diffusion scales quadratically with the effective gradients (10), including susceptibility-induced and static field inhomogeneities. Susceptibility-induced inhomogeneities have a larger effect because they are spread over local regions, as opposed to static field inhomogeneities, which are essentially long-range field disturbances. Most static field inhomogeneities can be refocused by spin-echo techniques, but diffusion losses through susceptibility gradients are irreversible. Both static field and susceptibility inhomogeneities scale linearly with the magnetic field strength. In addition, imaging gradients in MRH reach values of 100–1000 mT/m, resulting in transverse relaxation and signal attenuation. Thus, it is challenging to obtain $T_2$-weighted contrast at microscopic dimensions and high fields.

Cremillieux et al. (11) reported one of the earliest studies for $T_2$ measurement in the rat brain at 7 T. $T_2$ measurements in the mouse brain were also obtained at 7 T in a study in which multiple acquisitions of a single-echo, multislice Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence were used to acquire images at six different TEIs with a minimum TE of 15 ms (12). Multislice, multiecho acquisitions have been used as well (13); however, these can suffer from low SNR and artifacts at slice edges due to slice-selective refocusing pulses. The applications of such studies in rodents have ranged from quantitative $T_2$ analysis in cerebral ischemia (14), lesions of multiple sclerosis (15), and age-dependent $T_2$ differences (12). $T_2$-weighted contrast has found application in mouse models of Alzheimer’s disease for detecting amyloid plaques (16) and assessing morphometric differences in the dentate gyrus (17). A 3D atlas of the mouse brain (18) provided average and variability measures for structures labeled on $T_2$-weighted data. 3D sequences with volume excitation are more suitable for high-field MRH because they compensate...
for the loss of signal per voxel. Kovacevic et al. (18) used a 3D $T_2$-weighted sequence that achieved isotropic 60-μm resolution in 18.5 hr. Zhang et al. (16) used multiple 3D sequences at different TEs to yield a resolution of 46 × 46 × 62 μ in 24 hr. The 3D CPMG sequence used in this work is capable of much shorter TEs, higher resolution, and shorter acquisition times than the sequences used in the above-mentioned studies.

In a previous work (19) we outlined a multispectral MR acquisition protocol and algorithm for automated segmentation in the formalin-fixed mouse brain. Although the segmentation results were convincing, the long $T_1$ (~800 ms) in the mouse brain at 9.4 T required unreasonably long acquisition times. Johnson et al. (2) showed that the use of $T_1$-reducing contrast agents in conjunction with careful tissue fixation produces a fivefold increase in SNR and much shorter requirements for acquisition times. This enables higher resolution, which in turn makes detailed neuroanatomy visible at high fields. Unfortunately, the susceptibility variations induced by paramagnetic relaxation complications reduce tissue $T_2$s, which makes differentiable $T_2$-weighted contrast a challenge at high fields. This work demonstrates the efficacy of a 3D CPMG acquisition and the multi-echo frequency domain image contrast (MEFIC) method (20) for obtaining $T_2$-weighted images of actively stained mouse brain with high SNR and CNR. Though this method shows promise, to the best of our knowledge few studies have investigated its application. The initial study applied the technique to vasogenic edema and functional MRI (fMRI) studies in rats, both conducted at 3 T. We demonstrate the utility of this technique for high-field MRH in a focused study of the C57BL6/J mouse brain. The result is an accentuated visualization of mouse brain neuroanatomy.

### THEORY

The CPMG sequence (21,22) is a reliable method for measuring $T_2$ relaxation times in tissues and in turn obtaining $T_2$-weighted images. The sequence consists of a single 90° RF excitation pulse followed by a train of 180° refocusing RF pulses. The signal decay in the CPMG sequence is a function of the TE and the $T_2$ relaxation time of the tissue. The signal at time TE can be written as

$$S(TE) \propto e^{(-TE/T_2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} [1]

Due to the use of large gradients in MR microscopy and the induced susceptibility gradients at high fields, diffusion dominates at high fields. The functional dependence in Eq. [1] now becomes

$$S(TE) \propto e^{[(-TE/T_2)+(-TE/T_{2\text{diff}})]}$$  \hspace{1cm} [2]

where

$$\frac{1}{T_{2\text{diff}}} = \frac{1}{12} G_{\text{eff}}^2 \gamma D T E^2$$  \hspace{1cm} [3]

(10,23) $G_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective gradient at a time TE arising due to imaging and susceptibility differences, and $D$ is the diffusion constant. For water $D = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s. The measured $T_2$ relaxation time is then

$$\frac{1}{T_{2\text{diff}}} = \frac{1}{T_2} + \frac{1}{12} G_{\text{eff}}^2 \gamma D T E^2$$  \hspace{1cm} [4]

As a result, measured $T_2$s are shorter than expected. Clearly, reduction of TE can have a major impact on increasing $T_{2\text{diff}}$.

The CPMG sequence is highly sensitive to timing and RF pulse imperfections (24,25). Imperfect RF pulses and timing inconsistencies can lead to the formation of spurious echoes. Two kinds of artifacts plague multiecho sequences: a sinusoidal interference pattern along the phase-encoding axis, and mirror images from stimulated echoes superimposed on the data from the primary spin echoes. For 3D volume imaging the entire volume is excited per TR. The intensity variations in this case can also occur along the second phase-encoding axis, resulting in a banding artifact in the slice direction. Previous studies demonstrated the use of phase rewinding (26) and balanced crusher gradients (25,27) to eliminate these artifacts for 2D acquisitions. In this work we accordingly implemented crusher, encoding, and rewinding gradients for artifact removal in 3D CPMG acquisitions.

Conventionally, the later echoes in a multiecho acquisition are used to obtain $T_2$-weighted contrast between tissues. Although the longer TEs accentuate contrast differences between regions based on their different $T_2$ relaxation rates, the longer TEs also suffer from a lower SNR, which makes it difficult to identify and delineate structure boundaries. An innovative technique that has been shown to retain the SNR along with the $T_2$-weighted contrast is the MEFIC method (20). This approach computes the Fourier transform (FT) at each voxel of the echo images along the temporal dimension. The FT effectively integrates under the curve with tissues with longer $T_2$ time constants, producing higher and sharper peaks. The short tissue $T_2$s produce lower and broader peaks. Intensity differences in the DC image of the set thus arise due to $T_2$ relaxation differences, and this image has the maximum $T_2$-weighted contrast. Since the integration averages $k$-space data from all of the echo images, this image also has improved SNR. Along with the gain in signal and contrast, the MEFIC method optimizes the contrast among all of the tissue types that have different $T_2$ values, as opposed to conventional methods that generate the maximum contrast for a particular selection of tissue types at a particular TE value.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animal/Specimen Preparation

All of the animal studies were approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Six C57BL6/J male mice (approximately 9 weeks old) were used in the study. Two mice were fixed with formalin only, and four were fixed with a formalin and ProHance® (gadoteridol; Bracco Diagnostics, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA) mixture. For the formalin fixation, the animals were transecturally perfused with an initial flush of 36 ml of 0.9% NaCl (37°C), followed by 36 ml of 10% buffered formalin.
The heads were then stored overnight in formalin. The brains were excised the next day and scanned. The remaining four mice were perfused using a transcardial approach with an initial flush of saline and 10% ProHance solution in 10% buffered formalin to reduce tissue contrast for Mouse Brain

The shielded gradients on the system are capable of peak strength of 850 mT/m with a rise time of 100 μs.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the pulse sequence for a single TR. A single nonselective 90° RF pulse was used to excite the entire volume, followed by a train of 16 composite (28) 90°x,180°y,-90°x refocusing pulses. Composite pulses are used to improve both the inversion and refocusing efficiency of 180° pulses. 3D volume encoding was accomplished by stepping through successive phase-encoding gradients on two axes. The two phase-encoding gradients were applied after each refocusing pulse and rewound after the echo readout. Since stimulated echoes affected the later echoes more drastically and necessitated the use of higher crusher gradients, a pattern similar to the one used for the formain-fixed brain to accommodate the different T Orwell results, we used for the actively stained mouse brain, all sets were acquired over a field of view (FOV) of 11 mm × 11 mm × 22 mm. For the first set the acquisition parameters included array dimensions of 128 × 128 × 128 (86 × 86 × 176 μ) with an interecho spacing of 4 ms and TEmax = 64 ms (16 echoes). However, although this was a low-resolution scan, it achieved the shortest interecho spacing. The second set, which had array dimensions of 256 × 256 × 256 (43 × 43 × 86 μ) with an interecho spacing of 5 ms and TE = 80 ms (8 echoes), was used for contrast analysis of the different images generated by the MEFIC method. The third set, which had dimensions of 256 × 256 × 512 (43 × 43 × 43 μ) with an interecho spacing of 7 ms and TE = 112 ms (16 echoes), was a high-resolution isotropic data set and was used for detailed structure identification. All acquisitions were 3D volume acquisitions using a bandwidth of 62.5 kHz and maximum crusher gradient amplitude of 80 mT/m. The minimum TE increased for the high-resolution data set, since we encoded the longer axis of the brain along the readout gradient.

For the isotropic high-resolution data set, we reduced the total acquisition time by limiting the acquisition to 75% of the full Nyquist sample along each of the two
phase-encoding axes. This data set was acquired with a single NEX in a scan time of 4 hr. The remaining volume of k-space was zero-filled and an asymmetrically apodized Fermi filter was applied before reconstruction. A 3D FT was then applied to the data, which were displayed as magnitude images on a 3D array. In addition, we used a strategy designed to expand the dynamic range of the digitizer beyond 16 bits. The 3D volume of Fourier space was divided into three to six concentric volumes surrounding the center of k-space. We externally increased the receiver gain systematically for annuli away from the center of k-space, and reduced it for annuli toward the center by changing the number of attenuators used in the analog receiver. The increased gain at the periphery optimized the dynamic range of the receiver at the edges of k-space that encode high-frequency information.

Analysis

$T_2$ measurements were performed on all six brains. 3D multiecho data were fitted pixel by pixel with a nonlinear least-squares exponential decay function to derive $T_2$ estimates for the entire brain. These estimates were computed for the formalin-fixed brain for TE = 10 ms, and for the actively stained brain using the three different acquisition parameters corresponding to TE = 4, 5, and 7 ms. Regions of interest (ROIs) were placed in areas of gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Using the 3D echo image sets, we computed a complex FT at each voxel along the echo dimension to generate the $T_2$-weighted image set with the MEFIC method (20). The CNR was also computed to compare between the resultant MEFIC image of the formalin-fixed and actively-stained brains. To test the efficacy of the frequency domain method, we evaluated the relative SNR, contrast, and CNR measurements among different sources of $T_2$-weighted images: a single-echo volume acquisition at a long TE that indicated $T_2$-weighted contrast, the eighth-echo volume from a 3D multiecho acquisition at the same TE as the first but with shorter interecho spacing, and a set of different MEFIC images using the last eight, last 14, last 15, and all 16 echoes in the computation of the $T_2$-weighted MEFIC image. These are labeled MEFIC-8, MEFIC-14, MEFIC-15, and MEFIC-16, respectively. This analysis was done on all four brains fixed with ProHance and using the second set of acquisition parameters.

We used the most common method for measuring the SNR in MR images, i.e., we determined the ratio of the mean signal in the area of interest to the standard deviation (SD) of the noise in the background:

$$SNR = \frac{signal}{\sigma_{noise}}$$  [5]

This measure differs from a true SNR primarily because of the magnitude reconstruction of the images and the dynamic receiver gain. The equation suffices in our case because we are reporting a relative SNR measure to indicate the quality of the different $T_2$-weighted MEFIC images.

Contrast is measured as the difference in signal intensities between two tissues of interest that have to be differentiated. This is usually measured as a percent difference relative to one of the tissues:

$$Contrast = \left( \frac{signal_1 - signal_2}{signal_1} \right) \times 100 \text{ (in percent)}$$  [6]

Since the ability to distinguish between different signal intensities is affected by the noise in an image, a better criterion for choosing the image with optimum contrast is the ratio of the signal intensity difference to that of the SD of noise:

$$CNR = \frac{signal_1 - signal_2}{\sigma_{noise}}$$  [7]

The two sources of signals used in Eqs. [6] and [7] were the GM in the cortex and the most prominent WM structure in the brain, the corpus callosum.

FIG. 2. Spin-echo images of a slice from a 3D CPMG volume acquisition (FOV = 11 × 11 × 22 mm, matrix = 128 × 128 × 128, TR = 400 ms, TE = 4, 8, 12, ...64 ms) show increasing $T_2$ contrast and decreasing SNR.
RESULTS

$T_2$ Relaxation Measurement

Figure 2 shows 16 echo images of one slice in the coronal plane through the midbrain region from a 3D multiecho acquisition. The in-plane resolution is 86 μ with a slice thickness of 172 μ. The $T_2$ of the actively stained brain is <100 ms, vs. approximately 800 ms in the formalin-fixed brain. Thus at TR = 400 ms in the actively stained brain, the earlier echoes show high signal intensity depicting the proton density-weighted contrast. The latter echoes are $T_2$-weighted with a successive decrease in signal, but an increase in contrast between structures with different $T_2$ relaxation rates. Note that the laminar structure of the cortex is more evident at longer TEs. $T_2$ values obtained in actively-stained mouse brains for all three sets of acquisition parameters were compared with those previously computed for formalin-fixed brains. Table 1 illustrates that the contrast, CNR, and SNR are all higher in the eighth echoes of the multiecho acquisition compared to the single-echo acquisition at the same TE. This highlights the advantage of the multiecho CPMG over single-echo acquisitions for reducing susceptibility-induced dephasing. The next observation is that SNR in the MEFIC images, except MEFIC-8, is much greater than both the single-echo acquisition and the eighth-echo image from the multiecho acquisition. However, the contrast percentage decreases as the number of constituent images that make the MEFIC image is increased to include more echoes. The contrast between GM and WM decreases from 57% in Fig. 4c to 30% in Fig. 4f because the latter echoes incorporate more of the $T_2$ weighting of the CMPG sequence in the resultant MEFIC image. However, the SNR increases successively as we look at the measurements in Fig. 4c–f. As a result, the CNR, which incorporates both of these effects, increases from 10 in the image set computed from only the last eight echoes (Fig. 4c) to 92 in the image set computed from all 16 echoes (Fig. 4f). In this way, we have traded some of that SNR for enhanced contrast. Choosing the MEFIC image with 15 echoes gives a CNR between GM and WM of 74, and an average SNR of 282. Both of these values are much higher than those of the conventional $T_2$-weighted image in Fig. 4a and b, and provide the enhanced $T_2$-weighted contrast and required SNR for structure differentiation.

To illustrate the inverse relationship between the contrast and SNR of the MEFIC images further, Fig. 5 presents the same coronal slice from four different reconstructions of a 16-echo 3D acquisition. The in-plane resolution for this acquisition was 43 μ with a slice thickness of 86 μ. A number of different structures can be visually evaluated here for the purpose of contrast analysis. In Fig. 5a the last eight echoes were used for reconstruction. The first structure that stands out is the dentate gyrus (DG) area of the hippocampus, which is well differentiated from the surrounding regions in the MEFIC-8 image. This stark definition in its appearance decreases in Fig. 5a–d. Next, the appearance of the medial geniculate nuclei (MG) in the midbrain region is diminished in the MEFIC-8 (Fig. 5a) image due to the loss in SNR. The best possible characterization of the MG is seen in MEFIC-14 (Fig. 5b) and MEFIC-15 (Fig. 5c). A third observation made here is that SNR, CNR, and Contrast Measurement in MEFIC Data

Figure 3a shows the resultant MEFIC-16 $T_2$-weighted image of the slice in Fig. 2. Figure 3b shows a myelin-stained histology slide (Harvard mouse brain atlas) at the same coronal level in the brain. There is good correspondence between the two.

Figure 4 illustrates the relative contrast, CNR, and SNR for the different MEFIC data sets. The first observation is that the contrast, CNR, and SNR are all higher in the eighth echo of the multiecho acquisition compared to the single-echo acquisition at the same TE. This highlights the advantage of the multiecho CPMG over single-echo acquisitions for reducing susceptibility-induced dephasing. The next observation is that SNR in the MEFIC images, except MEFIC-8, is much greater than both the single-echo acquisition and the eighth-echo image from the multiecho acquisition. However, the contrast percentage decreases as the number of constituent images that make the MEFIC image is increased to include more echoes. The contrast between GM and WM decreases from 57% in Fig. 4c to 30% in Fig. 4f because the latter echoes incorporate more of the $T_2$ weighting of the CMPG sequence in the resultant MEFIC image. However, the SNR increases successively as we look at the measurements in Fig. 4c–f. As a result, the CNR, which incorporates both of these effects, increases from 10 in the image set computed from only the last eight echoes (Fig. 4c) to 92 in the image set computed from all 16 echoes (Fig. 4f). In this way, we have traded some of that SNR for enhanced contrast. Choosing the MEFIC image with 15 echoes gives a CNR between GM and WM of 74, and an average SNR of 282. Both of these values are much higher than those of the conventional $T_2$-weighted image in Fig. 4a and b, and provide the enhanced $T_2$-weighted contrast and required SNR for structure differentiation.

To illustrate the inverse relationship between the contrast and SNR of the MEFIC images further, Fig. 5 presents the same coronal slice from four different reconstructions of a 16-echo 3D acquisition. The in-plane resolution for this acquisition was 43 μ with a slice thickness of 86 μ. A number of different structures can be visually evaluated here for the purpose of contrast analysis. In Fig. 5a the last eight echoes were used for reconstruction. The first structure that stands out is the dentate gyrus (DG) area of the hippocampus, which is well differentiated from the surrounding regions in the MEFIC-8 image. This stark definition in its appearance decreases in Fig. 5a–d. Next, the appearance of the medial geniculate nuclei (MG) in the midbrain region is diminished in the MEFIC-8 (Fig. 5a) image due to the loss in SNR. The best possible characterization of the MG is seen in MEFIC-14 (Fig. 5b) and MEFIC-15 (Fig. 5c). A third observation made here is that

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Formalin (TE = 10 ms)</th>
<th>Formalin + ProHance (TE = 4 ms)</th>
<th>Formalin + ProHance (TE = 5 ms)</th>
<th>Formalin + ProHance (TE = 7 ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF $T_2$</td>
<td>35.82 ± 1.48</td>
<td>18.08 ± 0.65</td>
<td>17.56 ± 0.92</td>
<td>14.44 ± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM $T_2$</td>
<td>30.07 ± 1.59</td>
<td>15.54 ± 1.24</td>
<td>14.79 ± 0.58</td>
<td>11.98 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM $T_2$</td>
<td>23.95 ± 1.24</td>
<td>13.91 ± 1.10</td>
<td>13.55 ± 0.54</td>
<td>10.06 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM/WM contrast in MEFIC-16 image</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 3.** a: $T_2$-weighted MEFIC image of the 16 echo images in Fig. 2 demonstrates good correspondence with (b) a corresponding myelin stain histology slide.
the boundaries of the corpus callosum (CC), which are well defined in MEFIC-14 through MEFIC-16, are blurry in MEFIC-8 due to the elevated noise in this image. The MEFIC-8 image is more T$_2$-weighted than the other data sets. The DG structure may be well defined in Fig. 5a because it requires a strongly T$_2$-weighted contrast to be differentiated from neighboring structures. These requirements vary from structure to structure. A more rigorous study for desired structures can be carried out to choose the optimum number of later echo images that should be used, depending on the CNR and SNR achievable with the given scan parameters.

**R3.2** Figure 6 illustrates an example of how the image acquisition and processing scheme in this work can increase the accuracy of neuromorphometry studies in the mouse brain. It compares an image from a conventional 3D T$_2$-weighted acquisition used in our initial attempt to perform automated segmentation for morphometry (19) and an image from the combined use of the 3D CPMG acquisition and the MEFIC postprocessing. Figure 6a is a slice from a large-flip-angle 3D T$_2$-weighted acquisition (FOV = 12 × 12 × 24, matrix = 128 × 128 × 256, TR = 400 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 135°, NEX = 2) of a formalin-fixed mouse brain with a histogram from an ROI. Figure 6b is a homologous slice in the MEFIC-16 T$_2$-weighted data set from a 3D CPMG acquisition (FOV = 12 × 12 × 24 mm, matrix = 256 × 256 × 512, TR = 400 ms, TE = 7, 14, 21, . . ., 112 ms, NEX = 1) with a histogram of a similar ROI. The ROI encloses the boundary between two structures of the striatum in the brain: the caudate putamen (CPU) and the globus pallidus (GP). The enclosed ROI is the same size in both cases. The total number of voxels is higher in the histogram of Fig. 6b because of the higher resolution of this data set. The intensity histogram in Fig. 6a is skewed and does not show a clear demarcation of the two structures because of overlapping intensity levels. However, the histogram in Fig. 6b is clearly bimodal and gives a clear demarcation of the boundary. This demonstrates the increased efficacy of the combined 3D CPMG acquisition and MEFIC processing for discriminating structures, which is a prerequisite for MR neuromorphometry.

**Structure Identification in Isotropic 43-μm MEFIC T$_2$-Weighted Images**

The collages in Figs. 7 and 8 show horizontal and coronal slices, respectively, from different anatomical regions in
the brain from a 3D MEFIC-16 image set. This data set was acquired with an FOV of 22 mm × 11 mm × 11 mm and a matrix size of 512 × 256 × 256, resulting in an isotropic resolution of 43 μ. The top panel in Fig. 7 is a horizontal slice toward the dorsal end of the brain, with a clear demarcation between the superior and inferior colliculi.
The central nucleus of the inferior colliculus has been labeled. We can also identify the multilayered regions of the superior colliculus. This particular slice demonstrates the intermediate layer and deep gray layer. Also seen distinctly are the molecular layer, granular layer, and the fiber tract layer of the cerebellum. The bottom panel is a horizontal slice situated ventrally. Identified here in detail are the laterodorsal nucleus of the thalamus, the lateral geniculate nucleus, the zona incerta, the fiber tracts that go from the mammillary bodies to the thalamus. The thalamus is highly myelinated because it serves as the relay station for auditory, visual, and motor information to and from the cortex. The bottom panel in Fig. 8 distinctly shows the medial geniculate nucleus of the midbrain.

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented in this work demonstrate that the application of the MEFIC method to obtain $T_2$-weighted contrast in the actively-stained mouse brain has significant advantages with regard to SNR and CNR (Fig. 4). $T_2$-weighted contrast in the actively-stained mouse brain at 9.4 T is especially challenging due to paramagnetic contrast agent-induced susceptibility and gradient-induced diffusion losses. The MEFIC method enables us to regain the lost signal at long TEs while retaining $T_2$-weighted contrast. It gives us the flexibility to alter the contrast by modifying the number of echoes used in the computation (Fig. 5). This can accentuate specific structures of interest in morphometric studies. We demonstrated an improved demarcation between the globus pallidus and the caudate in the striatum of the actively-stained brain compared to conventional $T_2$-weighted acquisitions in the formalin-fixed brain (Fig. 6). We also observed an improved definition of the cortical layers, thalamic nuclei, superior colliculus layers, and cerebellum using the current acquisition and postprocessing scheme (Figs. 7 and 8). The MEFIC images demonstrate a significant discrimination between predominantly myelinated and partially myelinated regions. The increased SNR provided by the MEFIC method obviates the need for averaging over multiple acquisitions, and thus reduces scan time. This will have a tremendous impact on MRH applications in which high-throughput screening of mice, in terms of both image acquisition and analysis, is a necessity.

The use of well-balanced crusher and imaging gradients, and short interecho spacing in the 3D CPMG sequence can reduce susceptibility- and gradient-induced diffusion, thereby suppressing stimulated-echo artifacts, and harness the signal up to the late-echo images indicative of $T_2$-weighted contrast. The TE may have to be relaxed to some extent depending on the resolution required. As the interecho spacing increases, there is a reduction in $T_2$ and a decrease in $T_2$-weighted contrast in the MEFIC image. The
number of echoes used in the MEFIC computation can be altered, and the value that gives the maximum overall contrast among all structures or a selected group in the area of interest should be chosen. Zhang et al. (16) employed a technique similar to the MEFIC method; however, instead of applying an FT in the echo dimension, they added four echo images acquired in four separate acquisitions with different TEs. Their resultant $T_2$-weighted images showed GM/WM contrast, but details such as the cortical layers and thalamic nuclei were not visible. Their acquisition times ranged from 8 to 24 hr depending on the desired resolution.

CONCLUSIONS

The use of active staining has revolutionized the field of MRH, enabling the acquisition of high-resolution data in reasonable scan times. In the past, MR contrast in the actively-stained mouse brain was limited mainly to $T_2$-weighted contrast. $T_2$-weighted acquisitions posed great challenges due to the decaying signal at long TEs and the long scan times involved. The decay arises in part from spin dephasing induced by diffusion through susceptibility-induced gradients. With the combined implementation of short interecho spacing in the 3D CPMG sequence and the multiecho frequency domain image contrast method, we were able to obtain enhanced $T_2$-weighted contrast in the actively-stained mouse brain at 9.4 T. We achieved isotropic 43-μ resolution in a scan time of 4 hr. The resultant $T_2$-weighted images characterize the myeloarchitecture and cytoarchitecture of the mouse brain in exquisite detail, which makes this an invaluable source of contrast for future studies of automated segmentation and morphometry in the mouse brain.
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